Appl. No. 10/790,432 Amdt. dated January 9, 2008 Reply to Official Action of September 11, 2007

## **Amendments to the Drawings:**

The attached sheet of drawings includes a change to Fig. 4. This sheet, which includes Fig. 3-4, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 3-4. In Figure 4, reference numeral "41" is changed to "51".

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

## REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for his acknowledgement that claims 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 18 and 20-22 contain allowable subject matter, and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

The specification was objected to because paragraphs [0027] and [0028] referred to two different items using the same reference numeral 41. Paragraph [0028] and FIG. 4 are amended to identify the drain opening by reference numeral 51. No new matter is added.

Claim 4 is rewritten in independent form including all the features of claim 1, as suggested by the Examiner, and should now be allowable. Amended claim 3 and claim 5 are dependent on claim 4 and should also be allowed for at least the same reasons as claim 4.

Claim 6 is rewritten in independent form including all the features of original claims 1 and 3, as suggested by the Examiner, and should now be allowable.

Claim 8 is rewritten in independent form including all the features of claim 1, as suggested by the Examiner, and should now be allowable. Amended claim 2, claims 9 and 14, and amended claim 19 are dependent on claim 8, and should now be allowed for at least the same reasons as claim 8.

Claim 10 is rewritten in independent form including all the features of claim 1, as suggested by the Examiner, and should now be allowable. Claims 11-13 are dependent on claim 10, and should now be allowed for at least the same reasons as claim 10.

Claim 15 is rewritten in independent form including all the features of claim 1, as suggested by the Examiner, and should now be allowable. Claims 16-17 are dependent on claim 15, and should now be allowed for at least the same reasons as claim 15.

Claim 18 is rewritten in independent form including all the features of claim 1, as suggested by the Examiner, and should now be allowable.

Claim 20 is rewritten in independent form including all the features of claim 1, as suggested by the Examiner, and should now be allowable. Claims 21-22 are dependent on claim 20, and should now be allowed for at least the same reasons as claim 20.

Appl. No. 10/790,432 Amdt. dated January 9, 2008 Reply to Official Action of September 11, 2007

Claim 1 is canceled, obviating the rejection thereof.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Early notification to that effect is respectfully requested.

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any excess payment that may be associated with this communication to deposit account **04-1679**.

Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 9, 2008

Steven E. Koffs, Reg. No.: 37,163

Attorney For Applicant

DUANE MORRIS LLP 30 South 17<sup>th</sup> Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-4196 (215) 979-1250 (Telephone) (215) 979-1020 (Fax)